



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/037,595	01/04/2002	Michael Edward Baskey	, ROC920010193US3	6369
7590 11/25/2005			EXAM	INER
Gero G. McClellan			LAZARO, DAVID R	
Moser, Patterson & Sheridan, L.L.P. 3040 Post Oak Boulevard, Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77056-6582			2155	

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/037,595	BASKEY ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
David Lazaro	2155

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 07 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires ____ __months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _ of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-10,12,13 and 15-34. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 🕅 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13.

☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. November 18, 2005 SALEH NAJJAR

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

SUPERVISORY AND AT EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2155

Continuation Sheet

Continuation of 11:

Applicants argue on page 9 of the remarks - "Nair describes that "the buffer manager 1 14 maintains a pool of available buffer from which a protocol module may select or be allocated a buffer for temporal storage of the frame of data."- Nair 25. In contrast, the present claims recite allocating a system-supplied buffer to a server application. in response to a request from the server application. Applicants submit that allocating a system-supplied buffer to a server application is distinct from the techniques of allocating a shared buffer to layer of a network communication protocol, as disclosed by Nair."

Examiner's response - Nair additionally describes in paragraph [0030] (page 3) that allocation also occurs in the same manner when data is being transmitted by a server application. Specifically, Nair states,

"the process of the present invention is equally applicable to receiving at the top of the protocol stack a data from a <u>higher layer application</u> <u>program</u>, and passing control of processing the frame of data down the protocol stack in the machine in preparation for transmitting the data frame from the machine and over the attached network to another machine connected to the network."

The "process of the present invention" is in part, the allocation of a system-supplied buffer. Based on this citation, the examiner considers the teachings of Nair to be within the scope of "allocating a system-supplied buffer to a server application".

The remaining arguments relate to the same issue and are thus addressed by this response.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,595 Page 3

Art Unit: 2155

Continuation of 13:

The objection to claims 12, 17, 18 and 24, based on informalities, are withdrawn.

Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12, 13 and 15-34 are rejected as presented in the office action mailed 09/07/2005.

شد